

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/043,267	01/14/2002	Herbert Rupprecht	148/50801	5427
23911 7	590 04/08/2003			
CROWELL & MORING LLP			EXAMINER	
INTELLECTU P.O. BOX 143	IAL PROPERTY GROUP 00		TARAZANO, DONA	ALD LAWRENCE
WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1773	
			DATE MAILED: 04/08/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		<i>A</i> S				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/043,267	RUPPRECHT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	D. Lawrence Tarazano	1773				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover she t with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29.	lanuary 2003					
, <u> </u>	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		rosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-21</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/043,267

Art Unit: 1773

ţ

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of the restriction requirement in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the apparatus of claim 21 is used to make the multilayer film of claim 1. This is not found persuasive because the apparatus of claim 21 could be used to make another article; for example, it could be used to apply paint. The apparatus is not so specialized that it could only be used to produce the article of claim 1; furthermore, the article of claim 1 could be produced by using a different apparatus.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It has been held that claims merely setting forth physical characteristics desired in an article, and not setting forth specific compositions which would meet such characteristics, are invalid as vague, indefinite, and functional since they cover any conceivable combination of ingredients either presently existing or which might be discovered in the future and which would impart the desired characteristics, See, Austenal Laboratories, Inc. v. Nobilium Processing Company, 115 USPQ 44 and Ex parte Slob 157 USPQ 172. In this instance the applicants fail to specifically name or recite what types or class of polymers would be useful.

Application/Control Number: 10/043,267

Art Unit: 1773

1

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 4.

disclosure. The examiner cites Chang (5,049,395), Tedeschi et al. (6,379,691-B), and Rupprecht

(WO 01/03917 A2) as relating to cross-linked polymers and active substances.

The examiner notes that Tedeschi et al. teach a layered structure having a gradient of 5.

active substance (column 10, lines 59+) and are cross-linked (cured, column 11, lines 58+), but

fail to teach the claimed three-layer structure having an outer adhesive layer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to D. Lawrence Tarazano whose telephone number is (703)-308-

2379. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 to 6:00 (off every other Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor. Paul J Thibodeau can be reached on (703)-309-2367. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)-872-9310 for regular

communications and (703)-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-0661.

D. Lawrence Tarazano Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1773

dlt

April 4, 2003